

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/991,874	11/26/2001	Toshiaki Hasegawa	1417-370	3253
23117	7590 02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC		LISH, PETER J		
8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714			1754	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) HASEGAWA ET AL 09/991,874 **Advisory Action Art Unit** Examiner Peter J Lish 1754 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) [ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below): (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the additional material added to claim 32 requires further consideration. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.\times The a)\tag{7} affidavit, b)\tag{7} exhibit, or c)\times request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

10. Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 6-7, 9-12, and 30-32.

8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

STUART L HENDRICKSON PRIMARY EVANTAER Application/Control Number: 09/991,874

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., oxygen and fuel feed ports are open to the same plane so as to substantially flash with the inner wall surface and operation at 1800 °C or higher) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Regarding applicant's argument that the reference to US '199 fails to show the fuel and oxygen ports in an independent and spaced apart relationship, it is examiners holding that while the fuel ports may be located within the oxygen ports, the fuel and oxygen are not mixed together and enter through independent openings, which are spaced apart. Furthermore, the fuel feed port, which is located within a first oxygen port, may be compared to a second oxygen port, whereby they are certainly in an independent and spaced apart relationship.